Case3:07-md-01827-SI Document4438 Filed12/27/11 Page1 of 4

1	Richard M. Heimann (State Bar No. 63607) LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008 Bruce L. Simon (State Bar No. 96241) PEARSON, SIMON, WARSHAW & PENNY, LLP 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2450		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 433-9000		
7	Facsimile: (415) 433-9008		
8	Co-Lead Counsel for the Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11			
12			
13	IN RE TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. M07-1827 SI	
14		MDL No. 1827	
15	This Document Relates To: ALL DIRECT PURCHASER CLASS ACTIONS	[P KOPOS ED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL	
16		APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE AS TO DEFENDANTS CHI MEI INNOLUX	
17			
18		CORPORATION; CHI MEI CORPORATION; CHI MEI	
19 20		OPTOELECTRONICS CORPORATION; CMO JAPAN CO., LTD.; CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS USA, INC.;	
21		NEXGEN MEDIATECH, INC.; AND NEXGEN MEDIATECH USA, INC.	
22		Date: December 19, 2011	
23		Time: 4:00 p.m. Courtroom: 10, 19th Floor	
24		The Honorable Susan Illston	
25			
26			
27			
28			
	930556.2	- 1 - CASE NO. 07-1827 SI; MDL NO. 1827	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT		

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL AS TO CHI MEI DEFENDANTS

This matter has come before the Court to determine whether there is any cause why this

Court should not approve the settlement with defendants Chimei Innolux Corporation, Chi Mei Corporation, Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation, CMO Japan Co., Ltd., Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc., Nexgen Mediatech, Inc., and Nexgen Mediatech USA, Inc. (collectively, "Chi Mei") set forth in the Settlement Agreement ("Agreement"), dated July 15, 2011, relating to the above-captioned litigation. The Court, after carefully considering all papers filed and proceedings held herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises, has determined (1) that the settlement should be approved, and (2) that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of this final judgment approving the Agreement. Accordingly, the Court directs entry of Judgment which shall constitute a final adjudication of this case on the merits as to the

parties to the Agreement. Good cause appearing therefore, it is: ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, and all actions within this litigation and over the parties to the Agreement, including all members of the Class and Chi Mei.
- 2. The definitions of terms set forth in the Agreement are incorporated hereby as though fully set forth in this Judgment.
- 3. The Court hereby finally approves and confirms the settlement set forth in the Agreement and finds that said settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 4. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g), Class Counsel, previously appointed by the Court (Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein, LLP and Pearson, Simon, Warshaw & Penny, LLP), are appointed as Counsel for the Class. These firms have, and will, fairly and competently represent the interests of the Class.
- 5. The persons/entities identified in [Amended] Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs' Notice of Class Member Exclusions [Dkt. No. 2384] have timely and validly requested exclusion from the Class and, therefore, are excluded. Such persons/entities are not included in or bound by

28

25

26

27

this Final Judgment. Such persons/entities are not entitled to any recovery from the settlement proceeds obtained through this settlement.

- 6. The Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the individual and class claims asserted against Chi Mei, with Plaintiffs and Chi Mei to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as provided herein.
- 7. All persons and entities who are Releasors are hereby barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, or continuing, either directly or indirectly, against the Chi Mei Releasees, in this or any other jurisdiction, any and all claims, causes of action or lawsuits, which they had, have, or in the future may have, arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.
- 8. The Chi Mei Releasees are hereby and forever released and discharged with respect to any and all claims or causes of action which the Releasors had or have arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.
- 9. The notice given to the Class of the settlement set forth in the Agreement and the other matters set forth herein was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members of the Class who could be identified through reasonable efforts. Said notice provided due and adequate notice of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed settlement set forth in the Agreement, to all persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Rules 23(c)(2) and 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the requirements of due process.
- 10. Only two class members have objected to the settlement. Those objections have been overruled in a separate order.
- 11. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this settlement and any distribution to class members pursuant to further orders of this Court; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund (c) hearing and determining applications by the Class Representatives for representative plaintiff incentive awards, attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, including expert fees and costs, and interest; (d) Chi Mei until the final judgment contemplated hereby has become

_ 1 _